# Before the FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION Washington, D.C. 20554

In the Matter of	)	
	)	
Federal-State Joint Board On Universal	)	CC Docket No. 96-45
Service	)	

### REPLY COMMENTS

BellSouth Corporation, on behalf of itself and its wholly owned subsidiaries ("BellSouth"), hereby submits its Reply Comments in the above referenced proceeding.

- 1. In response to the Commission's *Public Notice*, a broad consensus emerges from comments the core services included in the current definition of universal service should not be modified at this time. Many carriers, regulatory commissions and end users see little justification for expanding the definition of supported services at this time. Most commenters believe that universal service should not become a vehicle for anointing a particular technology<sup>2</sup> or forcing the deployment of advanced telecommunications services.<sup>3</sup>
- 2. BellSouth agrees that the definition of supported services should not be modified. Based on the statutory criteria for determining services to be included within the definition of universal service, circumstances are not sufficiently different from when the Joint Board established the list of services currently under the umbrella of universal service to require a

See, e.g., Comments of Ad Hoc Telecommunications Users Committee, AT&T Wireless, Competitive Universal Service Coalition, Maryland Public Service Commission, and Sprint Corporation.

See Ad Hoc Telecommunications Users Committee at 3-4; Cellular Telecommunications & Internet Association at 5-7; Sprint at 4-6.

change. BellSouth does not believe that there is a factual predicate to support either expanding or contracting the existing list of supported services.

- 3. Nevertheless, several commenters urge the Commission to modify the definition in a variety of ways. For example, a few parties believe that soft dial tone or warm line services should be added to the list of supported services.<sup>4</sup> As BellSouth explained in its comments, soft dial tone services should not be added to the core services covered by universal service support. Such services are not so widespread and subscribed to by the majority of residential customers as to fall within the parameters of the statutory criteria for universal service. To the extent that such services may or may not contribute to the public safety is a matter that is local in nature and better addressed at the state level. The fact that such services are not supported at the federal level would not preclude a state from including soft dial tone in a state universal service fund.
- 4. Further, no party that has advocated that the federal universal service fund support soft dial tone services even acknowledged cost and other implementation issues that abound. SBC identified the significant business and operational impact that including soft dial tone in the definition of universal service would have on local exchange carriers. This one modification would require systems modifications, billing modifications, dedication of scarce numbering resources, and the development of new intercarrier and customer maintenance methods and procedures. Apart from the administrative burden, there would be a substantial implementation

See, e.g., AT&T Corp. at 3-5, 7-12; Illinois Commerce Commission at 4-5; New York State Department of Public Service at 4-5; Qwest Communications International Inc. at 4-5.

United States Conference of Catholic Bishops, Alliance for Community Media, Appalachian People's Action Coalition, Center For Digital Democracy, Consumer Action, the Community Technology Institute, Consumer Federation of America, Edgemont Neighborhood Coalition, the Migrant Legal Action Program, the National Coalition for the Homeless, the National Community Voice Mail Federation and Dr. Marcia Zashin, Education Consultant to the Cleveland Public Schools and Project Act at 4-13 ("Joint Commenters"); The People of the State of California and the California Public Utilities Commission at 3-5 ("CPUC"); Iowa Utilities Board at 6-7; Public Utility Commission of Texas at 4-5.

cost. For all of these reasons, the addition of soft dial tone to the list of supported services cannot be justified.

5. Some commenters reintroduce the idea that the bandwidth definition of voice grade service should be altered.<sup>6</sup> Tinkering with the definition of voice grade service is misguided. The purported aim of redefining voice-grade bandwidth is to ensure that rural subscribers can obtain dial-up Internet access at 28.8 kbps. When the issue was first considered, the record indicated that modification of the definition of voice grade access will not ensure that the modem connection between the end user and the Internet service provider will synchronize at 28.8 kbps. Many factors impact the speed at which two modems communicate. Simply changing the definition of voice grade bandwidth will not guarantee anything, let alone modem to modem synchronization at 28.8 kbps. Changing the bandwidth definition would not change the fact that there are neither industry standards nor specifications developed to support the changed definition. Without these parameters, the electrical performance of the "enhanced" bandwidth voice grade service could adversely impact other telecommunications services, including xDSL services. Even if these standards existed, this type of network change would be extremely costly without any guarantee of a public benefit. The absolute folly of such a requirement is that it would divert scarce resources from investment in technology to investment in technical alteration of existing technology.<sup>7</sup>

<sup>5</sup> 

SBC at 11-14.

See, e.g., Montana Universal Service Task Force at 6-7 ("MUST"); State of Alaska at 8-21.

BellSouth at 5-6. The Florida Public Service Commission acknowledges that modifying the definition of voice grade access would unnecessarily disrupt the marketplace (p. 8). AT&T points out that modifying the definition of voice grade access could not pass muster as competitively neutral (pp. 5-7).

- 6. Equally inappropriate would be to modify the list of supported services to include equal access, Expanded Area Service<sup>9</sup> and prepaid services. None of these services satisfy the statutory criteria that the Commission must apply to justify including such services within the definition of universal service. These services are not essential to public health, safety or education or widely subscribed to by a majority of residential subscribers. Their inclusion as a supported service would not serve the public interest. As the Ad Hoc Telecommunications Users Committee warns, any expansion of the list of supported services brings with it the likelihood that the cost of basic telecommunications services will increase for all consumers. Such a consumer impact cannot be ignored. Nevertheless, not one party suggesting that the list of supported services be expanded addresses consumer impacts beyond making unsupported assertions that the impact will be minimal. These arguments are not enough to justify modifying the list of supported services.
- 7. Rather than modifying the definition of universal service, the Joint Board and the Commission should focus on completing the unfinished tasks associated with universal service that remain. For example, implicit universal service subsidies remain in many state jurisdictions. As the Tenth Circuit Court of Appeals recently determined, the Commission has an obligation "to formulate its policies so as to achieve the goal of reasonable comparability by inducing 'sufficient ... State mechanisms' to do so." The Commission has not given the issue of implicit intrastate subsidies the attention that it requires. The Joint Board, instead of tampering with the definition of universal service, should look toward ways in which the Commission can

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>8</sup> See, e.g., OPASTCO at 3-5; MUST at 13-14.

See, e.g., Joint Commenters at 13-20.

<sup>10</sup> *Id.* at 23-32.

Ad Hoc Telecommunications Users Committee at 16-17. *See also* CPUC at 5-6.

effectuate the partnership contemplated in the Act between federal and state governments to support universal service.<sup>13</sup> Resources would be better used in crafting the inducements for states to act so as to preserve and advance universal service. The Commission has the responsibility to ensure that the states act.<sup>14</sup> Meeting that responsibility can be jeopardized by prematurely and unnecessarily altering the definition of universal service.

Respectfully submitted,

## **BELLSOUTH CORPORATION**

By: /s/ Richard M. Sbaratta

Richard M. Sbaratta

Its Attorney

Suite 4300 675 West Peachtree Street, N. E. Atlanta, Georgia 30375-0001 (404) 335-0738

Date: January 4, 2002

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>12</sup> Qwest Corp. v. FCC, 258 F.3d 1191, 1200 (10th Cir. 2001).

<sup>13</sup> *Id.* at 1203.

<sup>14</sup> Id. at 1204.

# **CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

I do hereby certify that I have this 4<sup>th</sup> day of January 2002 served the following parties to this action with a copy of the foregoing **REPLY COMMENTS** by electronic filing and/or by placing a copy of the same in the United States Mail, addressed to the parties listed on the attached service list.

/s/ Juanita H. Lee
Juanita H. Lee

### Service List CC Docket No. 96-45

+Magaline Roman Salas, Secretary Federal Communications commission The Portals, 445 Twelfth Street, S. W. Room TW-A325 Washington, D.C. 20554

Nanette G. Thompson Chair Regulatory Commission of Alaska 1016 West Sixth Avenue, Suite 400 Anchorage, AK 99501-1693

The Honorable Michael J. Copps Commissioner Federal Communications Commission The Portals, 445 Twelfth Street, S. E. Suite 8-A302 Washington, D. C. 20554

J. Thomas Dunleavy Commissioner New York Public Service Commission One Penn Plaza 8<sup>th</sup> Floor New York, New York 10119 The Honorable Kathleen Q. Abernathy Commissioner Federal Communications Commission The Portals, 445 Twelfth Street, S. E. Suite 8-B204 Washington, D. C. 20554

Sheryl Todd Accounting Division Common Carrier Bureau Federal Communications Commission The Portals, 445 Twelfth Street, S. E. Room 5-B540 Washington, D. C. 20554

The Honorable Kevin J. Martin Commissioner Federal Communications Commission The Portals, 445 Twelfth Street, S. E. Suite 8-C302 Washington, D. C. 20554

Martha S. Hogerty Missouri Office Public Counsel 301 West High Street, Suite 250 Truman Building, P. O. Box 7800 Jefferson City, MO 651-2 Lila A . Jaber Commissioner Florida Public Service Commission 2540 Sumard Oak Blvd. Gerald Gunter Building Tallahassee, FL 32399-0866 Pat Wood, III Chairman Texas Public Utility Commission 1701 North Congress Avenue P. O. Box 13326 Austin, TX 78711

Bob Rowe Commissioner Montana Public Service Commission 1701 Prospect Avenue P. O. Box 202601 Helena, MT 59620-2601

+Qualex International The Portals, 445 Twelfth Street, S. E. Room CY-B42 Washington, D. C. 20554

Lawrence G. Malone General Counsel New York State Department Of Public Service Three Empire State Plaza Albany, New York 12223-1350

Stephen A. Judycki 3 Parkwood Drive Wilbraham, MA 01095-1323

Matthew D. Bennett Policy Director Alliance for Public Technology 919 18<sup>th</sup> Street, NW Suite 900 Washington, D.C. 20006

Alan W. Pedersen Vice President – Regulatory Affairs Pauahi Tower, 27<sup>th</sup> Floor 1001 Bishop Street Honolulu, HI 96813 Maureen Trimm
President
ACUTA, Inc.
152 West Zandale Drive, Suite 200
Lexington, Kentucky 40503

Susan M. Gately Sarah C. Bosley Economic Consultants Economics and Technology, Inc. Two Center Plaza, Suite 400 Boston, MA 92108-2617

James S. Blaszak
The Ad Hoc Telecommunications
Users Committee
Levine, Blaszak, Block & Boothby, LLP
2001 L Street, N. E., Suite 900
Washington, D. C. 20036

James Rowe Executive Director Alaska Telephone Association 201 E. 56<sup>th</sup>, Suite 114 Anchorage, Alaska 99518

Howard J. Symons Sara F. Leibman Tara M. Corvo AT&T Corporation Mintz, Levin, Cohn, Ferris, Glovsky and Popeo, P. C. 701 Washington Avenue, N. W. Washington, D. C. 2004

Mark Rosenblum
Judy Sello
AT&T Corporation
Room 1135L2
295 North Maple Avenue
Basking Ridge, New Jersey 07920

Howard J. Symons Sara F. Leibman Bryan T. Bookhard AT&T Wireless Services, Inc. Mintz, Levin, Cohn, Ferris Glovsky and Popeo, P. C. 701 Pennsylvania Avenue, N. W. Suite 900 Washington, D. C. 20004

Douglas I. Brandon Vice President-External Affairs AT&T Wireless Services, Inc. 1150 Connecticut Avenue, N. W. Washington, D. C. 20036 Carol Weinhaus President Coverging Industries Research Foundation Meeting House Offices 121 Mount Vernon Street Boston, MA 02108

Michele C. Farquhar David L. Sieradzki Ronnie London Competitive Universal Service Coalition Hogan & Hartson LLP 555 13th Street, N. W. Washington, DC 2004

George N. Barclay Michael J. Ettner General Services Administration 1800 F Street, N. W. Room 4002 Washington, D. C. 20405

Myra Karegianes Sarah A Naumer Thomas G. Aridas Illinois Commerce Commission 160 N. LaSalle, Suite C-800 Chicago, Illinois 60601 Michael F. Altschul Sarah E. Leeper Cellular Telecommunications & Internet Association 1250 Connecticut Avenue, N. W. Suite 800 Washington, D.C. 20036

Gary M. Cohen
Lionel B. Wilson
Jonady Hom Sun
People of the State of California
And the California Public Utilities Commission
505 Van Ness Avenue
San Francisco, CA 94102

Snavely King Majoros O'Connor & Lee, Inc. 1220 L Street, N. W., Suite 410 Washington, D. C. 20005

Susan S. Miller Maryland Public Service Commission 6 Saint Paul Street Baltimore, MD 21202 Michael C. Strand Counsel for MUST 2021 11<sup>th</sup> Avenue – Suite 12 Helena, Montana 59601

Norman G. Curtright Sharon J. Devine Qwest Communications International Inc. Suite 700 1020 19th Street, N. W. Washington, D. C. 20036

Jeffry A. Brueggeman Gary L. Phillips Paul K. Mancini SBC Communications Inc. 1401 Eye Street, N. W. Suite 400 Washington, D. C. 20005

Rick Zucker Brian Staihr, Ph.D. Sprint Corporation 6360 Sprint Parkway KSOPHE0302 Overland Park, KS 66252 The Honorable Michael K. Powell Chairman Federal Communications Commission The Portals, 445 Twelfth Street, S. W. Suite 8-B-201 Washington, D. C. 20554

Stephen G. Kraskin Sylvia Lesse Marci E. Greenstein Rural Cellular Association 2120 L Street, N. W. Suite 520 Washington, D.C. 20037

Jay C. Keithley Sprint Corporation 401 9<sup>th</sup> Street, NW, #400 Washington, DC 20004

Robert M. Halperin Bridget E. Calhoun Valerie Hinko The State of Alaska Crowell & Moring LLP 1001 Pennsylvania Avenue, N. W. Washington, D. C. 20004 John W. Katz, Esquire Special Counsel to the Governor Director, State-Federal Relations Office of the Sate of Alaska Suite 336 444 North Capitol Street, N. W. Washington, D. C. 20001

William L Fishman Telecommunications for the Deaf, Inc. Swidler Berlin Shereff Friedman, LLC 3000 K Street, N. W. Suite 300 Washington, D. C. 20007-5116

Claude Stout Executive Director Telecommunications for the Deaf, Inc. 8630 Fenton Street, Suite 604 Silver Spring, MD 20910-3803 Margot Smiley Humphrey TDS Telecommunications Corporation Holland & Knight LLP 2099 Pennsylvania Avenue Suite 100 Washington, DC 20006

Richard A. Muscat Texas 9-1-1 Agencies & The National Emergency Number Association The Gonzalez Law Firm One Westlake Plaza 1705 South Capitol of Texas Highway Austin, Texas 78746

Christopher R. Day Angela J. Campbell Institution for Public Representation Georgetown University Law Center 600 New Jersey Avenue, N. W. Suite 312 Washington, D. C. 20001

Judith A. Endejan WSBA# 11016 United States Cellular Corporation Graham & Dunn, P.C. 1420 Fifth Avenue, 33rd Floor Seattle, WA 98101 John W. Hunter Lawrence E. Sargeant Linda L. Kent Keith Townsend Julie E. Rones United States Telecom Association 1401 H Street, N. W., Suite 600 Washington, D. C. 2005 Henry Rivera
Rodney L. Joyce
Valor Telecommunications
Enterprise, L. L. C.
Shook, Hardy & Bacon, L.L.P.
600 14<sup>th</sup> Street, N. W., Suite 800
Washington, D. C. 20005-2004

Joseph DiBella Verizon Telephone Companies 1515 North Court House Road Room 411 Arington, VA 22201-2909

Mary L. Brown Robert Lopardo Lori Wright WorldCom, Inc. 1133 19<sup>th</sup> Street, N. W. Washington, D. C. 20036

Margot Smiley Humphrey NRTA Holland & Knight LLP 2100 Pennsylvania Avenue, N. W. Suite 400 Washington, D. C. 20037 William M. Ojile, Senior Vice President General Counsel & Secretary Valor Telecommunications Enterprises, LLC 201 East John Carpenter Freeway Las Colinas Tower 1, Suite 200 Irving, TX 75062

John T. Scott, III Charon J. Harris Stephen J. Berman Verizon Wireless 1300 I Street, N. W. Suite 400 West Washington, D.C. 20005

David Lynch James R. Langenberg Iowa Utilities Board 350 Maple Street Des Moines, IA 50319

Stuart Polikoff Stephen Pastorkovich Jeffrey Smith OPASTCO 21 Dupont Circle, N. W. Suite 700 Washington, D. C. 20036 Robert N. Felgar Community Voice Mail Dickstein Shapiro Morin & Oshinsky LLP 2101 L Street, NW Washington, DC 20037-1526

+ VIA ELECTRONIC FILING